1907-08

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 117

1907-08
(Madurai)

Serial Nos. 1-21.

Later references.

Section I

Rev 356-09-10-1-11
" 185-12-11-1-12
" 80 & 19-1-1911.
Rev 359-1 & -20-8-1-4
192-46-1-8 Scan (3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Twenty One.

Part B for October 1907.

SUBJECT.

Inam lands endmed to Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Thirunarayanapuram Taluk

---

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Subject

Attachment of the Inam lands of Lakshminarayanaswami or endowed to the Vénu Gopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli in the T. Narasipur Taluk

LAST REFERENCE:—

Docket ~~Letter~~ No. 22 dated 5th August 1904 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore —

The temple is a private institution endowed with some Inam land in the T. Narasipur Taluk. His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III was, on the representation of one Vaidéhavi of Khásá Dévarumane, pleased to grant under Sanad dated 2nd July 1819, ~~[illegible]~~ 16 Vrittis as follows, under the Hanugusomy

Chavy

the 9. Narasipur Taluk :—

1 Vritti to the extent of one Khandaya
to the Eswara temple which already
existed in the Hittanalli village.

1 Do to the Ambala matt.

3 Vrittes to the extent of 3 Khandayas
to the Lakshminarayana Kesavaswami temple
to be newly built by Dikshithar
in the said village.

2 Vrittes to the extent of 2 Khandayas
to the said Dikshithar as
Yajamanya Vrittes

and 9 Vrittes of one Khandaya each
to 9 other 9 Brahmins

Total 16 Vrittes of the total extent of
16 Khandayas.

The Samvat directs that the Vrittes
be enjoyed in hereditary succession —
and that the original Deeds be
given over to the holder Dikshithar,
who was the Yajamanya

2. On the death of the original grantee Jaltácharya his son Narasimhachar came to the management of the agraharam and the Devadaya villages, and on his demise, his son first wife's Seshu Ayyar and the two sons of the latter viz Narasimhachar & Seeniwasa Rangachar, were managing the affairs of the Institution enjoying the (Devadaya and the Agraharam) villages. The following genealogical tree shows the names of persons that were the managers in hereditary succession

Jaltácharya A

|

Narasimhachar B

|

First wife's son Seshu Ayyar C | (2) Second wife's sons Ramaswamy Ayyar and Seeniwasa Ayyar H.

Narasimhachar D | (2) Seeniwasa Rangachar E | (3) Gopalachar F

G.

Thus it will be seen that the persons mentioned

A, B, C, D, E in red ink have been the managers one after another. Since the death of the last ^ the present managers are D and E. Lawsuit - There seems to have been a dispute between the persons marked D, E, F on one side and Ramaswamiengar marked W, as to the division of the landed property under dispute, but this question does not form the subject of the present reference, nor is there any paper in this office bearing on this point.

3. In October 1902 one Venkatachar presented a petition to the Minister of the 2. Transcription Circle, stating that puja and other services in the temple were not properly conducted; and the Minister and M. G. Krishnaswami Rao reported to the Dy Commr after enquiry that on a former occasion in about 1874-5 the produce of the inam lands had been placed under

under attachment as the temple building was in a state of disrepair; and that it was restored to the manager after the building was repaired; and recommended that the Inam lands might be taken over under Government management on the score that the temple had no Compound wall and Vimana — (In a subsequent report he stated that the He suggested at the same time that what remained after meeting daily the expenses of the Institution, might be utilized towards the construction (repairs) of the Compound wall and the Vimana. He also reported that he had, in anticipation of sanction, planned the temple's share of the produce in amount. The Asst. Commissioner was Rs. Krishna Rao. Thereupon issued an order on the

H — and the were cost in proof)

D — has signed under "for Comr".

(6)

January 1903, Head, as recommended by the Assistant, the Rajapet produce of the lands in question might be attached and placed in ~~annual~~ pending final orders in the case — ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

The produce has accordingly been in ~~annual~~ since October 1902 — and the annual realized thereform is ~~was~~ Rs 433-7-0 as follows :—

Kuttu for the year Subhakrutu	73 Pallus at Rs 3-7-0 per Palla	Rs. as 250-15
Do for the year Subhakrutu	109 Do at Rs 2-14-0 per Palla	313-6
Total	182 Pallus or Rs	564-5-0

~~[illegible]~~

Deduct as follows :—

(i) Land cess to be paid to Govt for Subhakrutu	Rs. as. P. 13-11-0	
and Subhakrutu	13-11-0	

(ii) Payable to the Archak for the years Subhakrutu and Sobhakrutu at 18 fullams per annum, the cost of which calculating at Rs 2-14-0 per fullam ..... Rs 103-8-

Total Rs 130-14-0

Balance available at the credit of the Trust 433-7-0

Out of the amount thus available, Rs 392-3-0 are reported to have been invested in the Government Savings Bank, and 12 fullams of paddy are kept in account in kind.

4. Sesha Iyengar, the manager, petitioned the Dy Commissioner in July 1903 stating that the lands in question had been, under the terms of the Sanad granted by H. H. the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, in the management of himself and his ancestors

for the past 85 years and complaining of the interference of the Amildar. Since then the matter has been under correspondence between the local Offices and no orders were passed by the ~~By~~ till now Commissioner approving of the action taken by the Amildar in 1902. ~~[illegible]~~ The attachment of the lands, however, continues up to date.

5 In January 1904 Sitha Ramasimhachar and Gopalachar sons of Seshu Iyengar, the manager who had since died, presented a petition to Government ~~explaining~~ representing their grievances and praying that the lands might be restored to them as usual, they being the hereditary managers of the temple — They contend that

that the lands in question were granted by His Highness the late Maharaja for their personal benefit as Bhattamarya; that they have been conducting the services in the temple properly; that they rebuilt the temple in about the year 1880 - a heavy cost of about 8 or 9 hundred rupees; and that the interference of the Amildar in their management is contrary to the terms of the Lawnd. In support of their contention they have produced the original copy of the document said to have been executed in 1862 showing the details of the properties that were divided between Nora - Timmachar and Lasha Iyengar who were their ancestors. This document seems to have been duly attested by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III and

and mention is made therein of the lands at Hittimali. But it is doubtful whether the temple lands were included in these properties. At the Inam settlement in 1880 the lands were confirmed to the temple as Devadaya

6 The Amildar has submitted an estimate for repairing the temple including the compound wall and the Vimana, at a cost of Rs 165 and he suggests that the cost may be met from the accumulated savings of the temple (viz Rs 433-7-11).

7 In submitting the entire records to Government, the Dy Commissioner recommends that

(i) the lands may be taken over permanently under Govt management;

(ii) that some additional lands may be entertained for service in the temple

and

and (iii) that the temple may be repaired as per estimate submitted by the Amildar.

8 In similar cases, when the temples endowed with land Inams fall into disrepair, and when the Amildars unwillingly attached the Inam lands, the Govt. direct instructions ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ ordering at the same time that the savings accumulated ~~xxxx~~ therefrom during the temporary attachment, be utilized towards the necessary repairs.

Submitted

Jes
30/10/04

The repairs required seem to be of minor importance and the estimate is for only Rs. 165/-. The repairs may be ordered to be executed by the Amildar from the funds now in deposit, and the funds recovered at once to the temple

1/34

Circle to the Collector through the D/s

(12)

[illegible]

10/11/04

2608/10.11.04

2[3109]

[illegible]

Draft
5/12/04

3-XII-04

Rep.
7.12.04

Draft Published

5/12/

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No. of 190

X

Note Continued :—

Orders were accordingly issued on the 15th December 1904 directing the restoration of the lands to the petitioner and sanctioning the estimate for Rs 165 for the repairs required to the building. The order directed (i) that the work be executed under the supervision of the Amildar; and (ii) that the balance of the deposit should remain at the credit of the Institution in the Savings Bank to meet future requirements of the temple

Little Gopalachar, the petitioner, prays in his petition dated 1st April 1905 that the work of repairs be entrusted to him and that the balance

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

after

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

after deducting the estimated cost of repairs be paid to him as he is ~~for the temporary~~ required to meet all the expenditure of the Institution.

The amount kept in deposit, since the attachment of the lands, was Rs 392-3-0 in cash and 12 Pallas of paddy in kind (Vide page 7 of this note; and after deducting the estimated cost of repairs viz Rs 165, there remains a sum of Rs 227-3-0 in cash and 12 Pallas of paddy. This the petitioner claims to be restored to him.

In a similar case, in connection with the Prasanna Venkatesvara Swami temple at Srirangapatna, the full amount

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

of the savings kept in deposit was ordered to be paid to the manager of the Instn. The file is put up for reference. Submitted with a draft as directed.

17/4/05

Considering that the whole management of the institution and its future upkeep have been restored to the Settlr, there seems to be no object in keeping back the small sum of Rs. 227-3-0 from him. The amount set apart for repairs, viz, Rs. 165/- may be also be given to him and he may be asked to effect the repairs in an efficient manner under the supervision of the Amildar

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst

20/4/05

Read 22.4.05

Petitioners prayer is not unreasonable & may be granted with

Read 24/4/05

6331 MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04

2.5.05 Read 7/5/05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

PTO

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued :-

The Dewan's orders recorded on Pages 12 & 15 of this Note were issued in Govt: Pro: nos 22532 dy 15th December 1904 and 1122-3 dy 6th May 1905 respectively.

With reference to the latter Pro: the Deputy Commissioner reports that Sitte Gopalachar ~~has not been~~ paid Rs 227-3-0 and 12 Pallas of paddy and a receipt obtained from him. In regard to the repairs he states that as the contract had already been given out it was not reasonable to upset the arrangement.

In regard to the revision of the scale of expenditure it is proposed by Mr Sinclair ~~proposed~~ that out of 109 pallas

of paddy, to fix the scale at 78 Pallas the remaining 38 Pallas being given away to the Manager Thiru Gopalachar. Thiru Gopalachar however requests that the scale may be fixed at 45 Pallas himself being given 50 Pallas the balance of 14 Pallas being deposited for the repairs of the temple. The D.C. has not given any opinion in the case — A revised estimate is also submitted and sanction is solicited for Rs. 7-13-3 for repairing the roof of the Kitchen. The cost is suggested to be debited to the interest of Rs. 8-2-8 on the deposit at the credit of the temple. The submitted by 20/12/05 has been sanctioned (vide

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Government Rec: no 3319-dated 20
13th February 1906).

In his petition no 3162 dated 23rd January 1906 to this Office Tittle Gopalachar represents that the annual expenditure of 18 Pallas of paddy formerly fixed by him for the temple has since been raised by him to 45 Pallas annually; that no fixed scale of expenditure for the temple was prescribed since the date of the Inam; that the temple, which is situated on his own Bhattamanya land half a mile from the village, is not in need of more than what has now been fixed by him. He prays that under the circumstances

enumerated above, and in consideration of the fact that the annual net income from the Inam land – whether it is more or less – he would be held responsible to keep the temple always in good order paying at times from his pocket; the present expenditure in the temple he not further increased and that what remains might be kept in his possession to be utilized for the repairs of the building. The accompanying statement shows in what items there is a difference of opinion between the Amildar and the manager of the temple

Submitted

ks
20/2/06

MGOPB—2,500—1-8-05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:—

What the petitioner propose seems reasonable. He proposes to raise the expenditure from 18 Pallas to 45 Pallas, and wishes to be left in the undisturbed enjoyment of the balance, whatever it may be, as it will be his bounden duty to keep the temple always in good repair & the Puja Services in an efficient state. I think that this may be agreed to by Government.

A draft is submitted for approval.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

T Muthusawmy Pillai
26/2/06

Recd
27.2.06.

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Petitioners representation
are reasonable and
may be supported.

25/2/06

28/2/06

11551
28.2.06

Draft appd by the Dewan
Sahib 3/3/06

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note Continued: — — —

As directed, the Government in Pro: no 3754 dated the 8th march 1906 Sanct^n a revised Scale of expenditure to be incurred in the Sri Lakshminarayana Swami Temple otherwise known as Venugopala Swami temple at Hiriyalli, T. Narasipur Taluk.

The Deputy Commissioner solicits orders in the matter of withdrawing the balance of Re one from the Savings Bank and closing the account after payment of the balance to Thirte Gopala char who it is reported has assumed the management of the temple.

The deposit amount of Rs 227-3-0 & 12 Pallas of paddy were all given away to Gopalachar - the manager of the mutt:- under orders conveyed in Pro: No. 4122-3 dt 6th May 1905.

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

A scale of expenditure has been fixed. The present ref.ce from the Dy. Comm.r regarding the payment of the small balance of 1 rupee to Gopalachar, is to close the accounts. May orders be issued accordingly.

Recd 4/10/07

Yes. I think orders may be issued accordingly.

Submitted the 2nd/4th | 2/10/07

Approved

3/10/07

Draft ready

Sent 5/10/07 3/10/07

Muz 1J

MYSORE GOVT. MUZRAI SECTT.

MYSORE MUZRAI DEPT.

Registered No.—

Name of Petitioner— ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಕರಿಯಿಂಗ
ಬಾರ ವಕ್ಷಿ ತೆಲ್ಲ

Address— ಶೈ ಸು ಕರ್ತವರಬಾ
ಬಾಂ ಗಾ ಚಾರಕಾಯಾಗಿ

Date of Petition— 9—1—04

Date of Receipt— 11—1—04

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

Draft submitted
asking the D.C. supreme
for a detailed report
together with his remarks
on the subject.

ks
18/1/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Progress Post

11/1/64

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8.

11

12

13

9-

No. 524

10.2.04

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಪ್ರಸಾ.ಇ.ಸಂ.1335
ಪ್ರ.ಸಂ.3164/

ನಂ: 528/ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳಿಗೆ

ವಿಷಯ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ-ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ -

ಮೇಲಿನ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ-ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 16.2.1972

ಆಜ್ಞಾನುಸಾರ

No 2073 & 20.1.04 Enclosure
2074 " "

Government

MYSORE ~~DEWAN'S OFFICE~~,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

Muz 119-07-2

The accompanying Petition No. 1386
dated 9th January 1904 received at the
~~Dewan's Office~~ Muzrai Department from Thitte Narasimhachar
and Thitte Gopalachar praying
that the Inam lands near Hittavalli
& Narainpur Jahgir endowed to the
Sri Lakshminarayanaswami
Temple by Thimmanna Nayaka for professional
and which has been resumed from them,
be ordered to be given back
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District for a detailed
report & for submission
of the entire records
connected with the
subject

By Order,

19/1/04

Deputy Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19-1-1904.

Enclosures returned

Es
19/1/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3226
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903-1904

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore in the Muzrai
Dept

Muz 119-07-3

Dated 11th June 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 329
No.

Enclosure No 1 & 2 in Sealed Separate

Read in Mysore

Reporting on the Inam
land belonging to the Sri
Lakshmi narayanaswamy
(Venu Gopalaswamy) temple
at Hittuvalli in the T. Narsipur
Taluk.

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 10th June 1904

To

M. Shama Rao Esq. M.A
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 2073 dated 19th January 1904 calling for report regarding the Inam lands belonging to the Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswamy (Venu Gopalaswamy) temple at Hitteralli in the T. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire correspondence ending with Yadi No. 1335 dated the 3rd June 1904 from the Senior Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Nanjangud Division.

In the year Pramathi (1819) His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraj Wadeyar

Bahadur granted 3 Vrittis to the above temple (vide Sannad in the correspondence). These lands have been confirmed as Devadaya during the Inam Settlement. As the con-ditions of the Sannad were not fulfilled, and the temple allowed to fall into disrepair, the Amildar insisted on the present manager to furnish the accounts of receipts and expenditure which he did not do. Thereupon the produce of the Inam lands was attached and the lands are now in the temporary possession of the Government.

The Amildar reports that there is a large income every year from these lands, that the income so

realized is not properly appropriated for the benefit of the temple at present, and that the temple establishment at present consists of only one person. He suggests that some additional hands are very necessary for the effi-cient and more satisfactory manage-ment of the temple.

Agreeing with the Amildar, I request that Government may be pleased to accord sanction for

(1) taking the lands permanently under Government management,

(2) Entertaining some additional hands as detailed in the margin,

& (3) Repairing the temple and its Com-pound as per enclosed Estimate, the Cost of the last 2 items being met from the income derived from the Inam lands.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

Muz 119-07-4

Dated 7th July 1924.

Despatched

Received

General No. 138
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. —

Has the honor to request
to be furnished with an
extract of the Inam Regr.
relating to the grant of
Vrittis as Inam to the Sri
Lakshmi Narayanaswamy
also known as Venugopalaswamy
at Kikkuvalli in the T. Narasipur
Taluk

By order

6/7/24

Sean 6/7/24

MGCPB—1000-21-7-24

Rs
16/7/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
195

190

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
~~GEN. & REV. DEPTS.~~
Muzrai Department.

Dated 15th
Despatched 16th July
Received

No. 10 of 1904—05

Enclosure No.

Muz 119-07-5

Rupees
Rs
16/7/04

With docket No. 138 of the 6th July 1904, requesting to be furnished with an extract of Inam Register relating to the grant of Vrittis to Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami also known as Venugopalswami at Hittuvalli Village.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that in the Inam Register of H Village, there is no case regarding the grant Vrittis to Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami or Venugopalswami.

Superintendent
Inam

MGCPB—28-12-02—200

MYSORE ... MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
3302

Muz 20/6/04

119-87-6

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

20/6/1904

[illegible]

Vide Issue no. 486 of 27/7/04

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Mwz. 119-07-7

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements

Dated 14/7/1904

Despatched 27th

Received

General No. 485
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. —

Vide docket No. 10 of
15th July 1904.

With reference to the above
has the honor to state
that the temple of Sri
Lakshmi Narayanaswami
also known as Venugopala
Swami is situated at
Hiriyuralli and the Devadaya
inam lands endowed to the

MGCPD—1000-21-1-04

Institution are located
in Ranganaikanahalli Hobli
of the late Talkad & now
T. Narasipur Taluk. An
extract of the Inam Regr.
relating to the grant
is requested as already
requisitioned in this Office
docket No 138 of the 7th Instant

By order

27/7/04
Sec

Dol.

27/7/04

5/2

1904-905

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrayi Department

Dated 3rd
Despatched 6th } August 1904.
Received

General No. 22
No.

Enclosure No. One

As requested in his docket No. 485 of the 27th July 1904, has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands to Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswamy's temple at Hitturalli village in the Tirumakoodlu Narsipur Taluk.

Supt. of Inam settlt. in Mysore.

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Rangasamudra in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
Title Deed Dt 1604				
5.	Devadaya	wet Nos 49, 50, 67 and 98.	Archar Jain Inam account of 1831 C. K. P. Krishi 7-10	

Sammat of Rangasamudra in the Taluk of Tekkad

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AN			
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the rayats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, &c, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c or liable to quit-rent, i.e. jodi, &c, amount o latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
F. V. Rs a p -7-13½=95-5-11		For the benefit of Lakshmi Narrayana Swamy in the village the Temple of which is being built and Service rendered to the Deva every day the Idol having Kept in a Pendal.	Free.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM	
By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of Mysore

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornaiah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		Hurzur Jama Lucam account 1831/32 Pc-no Vishnu Devastan 1 Vrittis 3 Devarayya Krishna C-K-P C K P P-7-6 3 — 7-10 — 32-7/3 Pantularu P-7-6 Dhanya Nagendrayya-6-7½ Hedimi Dimaiah Gupta - 32-1-6 Temple is not built and the produce as paid 32-7/3½ to Sattalúr — Do of 1855 C-20-15 Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Devastan 1 Vrittis 3 of the Channa Raya Bhupal Agraharam as per Cowle Mitta C-K-P P-7-6 7-10 — 32-7-12 Rs a p 95 — 5 — 11

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the main has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Hittuvalli Venu Gopala = = Swami Manager Proter			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already passed by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division [illegible] on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation

19

1. Whole grant A. Devadaya Jami
2. Anbur Jam account 1831/32 adopted.
3 For the reasons stated in para 11 of my remarks in Col: 19 of case No 10, I recommend the inam to be Confirmed to the Devasthanam in Col: 15 under Rule V Freeof tax.

(Signed) B. Rogavachariah
23-7-79.

1. Confirmed free
(signed) Robt Cole
(Confirmed) Inam
(settlement)
27-9-79

4. The Amil in his No 571/847 of 22nd Dec 1879, reports that the temple has been Completely built and Mulawar Vigraha has been placed, but not yet Consecrated.
5. Confirmed free.

(Signed) Robt Cole.
4-3-80 (Confirmed) Inam Settlement Officer.

Inam Settlement Office
Bangalore 6th August 1964

Compared

Superintendent
Inam Settlement
in

Forwarded
by Portia Iyer in person
Plaint with the File
and Interim Earth
with Note 3/10/04

ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು ಸತ್ಯವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರು ಬರಲು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 3/10/04
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Miscl.

Mwz 119-07-10

Read:-

Petn. Regd. No. 1386 dy. 9th January 1907 from Thirus Narasimhachar and Thirus Gopalachar praying that the inam lands near Hirisavalli T. Narasipur Taluk, endowed to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami otherwise known as Venugopalaswami temple, which had been taken under Govt. management, may be restored to them.

And,

Letter No. 329 dy. 11th June 1907 from the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dt. reporting on the above.

Also,

Docket. No. 22 dy. 5th August 1907 from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore forwarding a

the Inam Register pertaining
to the grant of the inam to the
temple

Order thereon:—

No. 2253 D/15/12/04
Enc 0 Pile

Upon the representation
of one Thathachar of Khása
Devaramané, His Highness the
late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja
Wodiyar Bahadur III, was pleased
to grant, under Sanad dated
2nd July 1819, 3 Virtties under
the Ranganaundra Channel to
the Sri Lakshmi Narayanaswami
(otherwise known as Venugopalaswami)
temple at Hithuvalli in the
T. Narasipur Taluk. During the
Inam Settlement in 1880, these
~~were have been confirmed~~ as
Devadaya" & the management
temple & its endowments
in the hands of Thathachar

and his descendants in
hereditary succession. In
902 complaints were received
by the Assistant that the
conditions of the grant were
not fulfilled & that the temple
had been allowed to fall into
disrepair. Thereupon, the
manager of the institution was
called upon to furnish account
of receipts & expenditure
~~disclosed~~ and on his failing
to do so, the produce of the
inam lands was ~~attached~~ and
kept in deposit with the Govt.
~~placed under the temporary~~
~~possession of Govt~~. Against
this, the petr. has appealed
to Govt praying for the restoration
to him of the inam lands.

2. The Dy Comm! recommends that (1) the lands may be taken over permanently under Govt! management, (2) some additional lands may be entertained for service in the temple & (3) the temple may be repaired as per ~~the~~ Estimate submitted by the Amildar

3. With refce to the above, the Govt! observe that the repairs required are of minor importance and the Estimate therefor is for only Rs 165. This Estimate is sanctioned, the charge being met from the funds of the institution now in deposit. The balance of the deposit will remain at the credit of the institution in the Savings Bank account for future requirements of the temple. The work will be executed under the supervision of the Amildar. As the

Samad

Sanad of His Late Highness
Conferring that the Maharaja &
his descendants in hereditary
succession to manage the
Temple & its endowments;
the Govt. direct that the
lands which are now under
temporary attachment

be restored to the Pujari,
who should be warned to maintain the institution in proper order and keep regular accounts.
~~The Dewan's Sanad to be~~

If the lands begin to yield ~~what is more~~ more than sufficient for the ~~present requirements~~ upkeep for the benefit of the institution, the Dewan is requested to revise the expenditure so as to provide for the services in the temple being conducted in a more efficient manner, ~~will be considered and~~ and submit for the sanction of Govt ~~Receipt of~~ a regular scale of Establishment & other charges therein.

12/12/04

Secretary
Revenue Dept.

(Dewan's orders)

To the Dewan
Mysore Dt. Agt. records.
No. 2254 Endt. dated 12/12/04

Mwz 119-07-11

No. 2254 dt/15/12/04

శ్రీ భక్తాంజనేయ స్వామి వారు
పెదవేంకటాద్రి స్వామివారు
యిద్దరూ ఒకే యిల్లు

శ్రీ నిండ్ర క్షేత్రనంబెమాంబాంబుజాక్ష కా
రద్దాయిరువశాశినరసింహ్మాభ్యాంనేటికి
శ్రీలక్ష్మీలంచాంనీయువతులనళిగే—
యుండాలి.

నివేదు బ్రహ్మాంగిళాం ఉల్లాల్ల తిక్కాంతి
1904 నెబెనవరి 9 కేలరక్కం 1886 నేనంశర
ఆ ప్రైవేట్ ఆ భూములకు యుంచి విధియుంచి
శ్రీనిండ్రాలు తొట్టి శ్రీధరవరిందశాందశాం
రద్దనిచేసి రాంశ తిన్నలాంయుంచాలు—

తే. నరశిమ్మరశాల్లాం శ్రీకరుమ్మ వళ్ళి

యుద్ధాయిరువలక్ష్మీనారాంయుద్ధాం శ్రీం యి
~~యుద్ధాయిరువలక్ష్మీనారాంయుద్ధాం శ్రీం యి~~ ఆ ప్రవేట్లాంశ్రీంలక్ష్మీలు శ్రీం యి
పేల్ల వినిప్పినగ ~~యుద్ధాయిరువలక్ష్మీనారాంయుద్ధాం శ్రీం యి~~
~~యుద్ధాయిరువలక్ష్మీనారాంయుద్ధాం శ్రీం యి~~ ప్రారంభించిన

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 15th December 1904.

READ—

Petition, Registered No. 1386, dated 9th January 1904, from Thitte Narasimhachar and Thitte Gopalachar praying that the inam lands near Hittuvalli, T. Narsipur Taluk, endowed to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami otherwise known as Venugopalaswami temple, which had been taken under Government management, may be restored to them.

AND—

Letter No. 329, dated 11th June 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the above.

Also—

Docket No. 22, dated 5th August 1904, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, forwarding a copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of the inam to the temple.

Muz - 119-07-10

No. 2253, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH DECEMBER 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—Upon the representation of one Thathachar of Khasa Devaramane, His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III, was pleased to grant, under Sannad dated 2nd July 1819, 3 vrittis under the Rangasamudra channel to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami (otherwise known as Venugopalaswami) temple at Hittuvalli in the T. Narsipur Taluk. During the Inam Settlement in 1880 these lands were confirmed as "Devadaya". The management of the temple and its endowments was in the hands of Thatachar and his descendants in hereditary succession. In 1902 complaints were received by the Amildar that the conditions of the grant were not fulfilled and that the temple had been allowed to fall into disrepair. Thereupon, the manager of the Institution was called upon to furnish accounts of receipts and expenditure and on his failing to do so, the produce of the inam lands was attached and kept in deposit with the Government. Against this, the petitioner has appealed to Government praying for the restoration to him of the inam lands.

2. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that (1) the lands may be taken over permanently under Government management, (2) some additional hands may be entertained for service in the temple and (3) the temple may be repaired as per estimate submitted by the Amildar.

3. With reference to the above, the Government observe that the repairs rquired are of minor importance and the estimate therefor is for only Rs. 165. This estimate is sanctioned, the charge being met from the funds of the Institution, now in deposit. The balance of the deposit will remain at the credit of the Institution in the Savings Bank to meet future requirements of the temple. The work will be executed under the supervision of the Amildar. As the sannad of His late Highness authorizes Thatachar and his descendants in the hereditary succession to manage the temple and its endowment, the Government direct that the lands which are now under temporary attachment be restored to the petitioner who should be warned to maintain the Institution in proper order and keep regular accounts.

4. As the lands seem to yield more than what is now utilized for the benefit of the Institution, the Deputy Commissioner is requested to revise the expenditure so as to provide for the services in the temple being conducted in a more efficient manner, and submit for the sanction of Government a regular scale of establishment and other charges therein.

Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning records.

Exd.—G. S

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNE.
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 15th December 1904

No. 2253

Sanctioning, with certain observations the restoration of the Inam land endowed to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami otherwise known as Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli, T. Narsipur Taluk to Thitte Gopalachar, Manager of the institution.

SEP—15-1-05—200

Date 1/4/05

Ref. No. 119-07-12

Subject: —

Any Instructions

1/4/05

File

1/4/05

1/4/05

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 165
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

4 [illegible]

Mysore.

Read again :—

MHL 119-07-14

Govt. Order no 2253 dated 15th Dec'04 sanctioning the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to the Lakshmi narayanaswami (otherwise known as Venu Gopalaswami) temple at Hittanalli in the T. Narsipur Taluk to Sitta Gopalachar Manager of the Trust.

Also Read :—

Petition no 3652 dated 1st April 1905 from Sitta Gopalachar

on

on the subject.

No 4122-3.

Order:— 9/6.6.05

In the petition
read above, I the
Superintendent, manager
of the temple in question,
first
prays that the work
of repairing the Institution
be entrusted to him, on
the ground that the
work may not probably
be done substantially
if it be entrusted to others
and that
the consequence would
be that he would be
obliged
to do the work again
at his own cost;
and secondly that

fund- the balance of
the amount- remaining
in deposit- after meeting
the estimated cost-
of repairs to be paid
to him, as he is
to make ade the
- tion of the Trustees
in future.

2. As regards the
first- proviso, the
Board- see no objection
to entrust the work
repairs to the
subject, of course
to the supervision
of the Auditor,
As the future maintenance
the ' ple in proper order
devolves upon the Peter

~~deposited as he is responsible~~
~~for the safety of the~~
~~building in future~~
~~also~~

3. As regards the second prayer, it is observed that, since the temporary attachment of the lands in 1902 and before their restoration to the petitioner in 1904 a sum of Rs 392-3-4 in cash and 12 Pallas of paddy in kind were kept in amanat and that after deducting the estimated cost of repairs viz Rs 165, there remains at the credit of the

Institution

Institution a sum of
Rs 227-3-0 in cash
12 gallons of brandy
As the manager is
required to maintain
temple in proper
rendering all these
at his own
services himself
as the entire
rests with him, We
are pleased to direct
that the above
viz Rs 227-3-0 in cash
and 12 gallons of
in kind, be given to

4. The Dy Comrs
requested to
at an early date

regular scale of expenditure for sanction,
as required in para
4 of the Govt. order
noted above -

Dy. Secretary
Finance Dept

The copy of the Convention
& the Decree is recorded
on the Office Note
I issue the draft
5/5/1

17/4/05

To
The Dy. Commr. Mysore Dt.
& Comptroller Mysore Govt.
Civil Workers

1905-06



Muz 119-07-16

From
H. H Sharkey Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A
Secy: to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Dated 11th December 1905

Despatched 12

Received

General No. 310
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file with 1 letter & 2 encls

Submits for favour of sanction a regular scale of expenditure for the Sri Venugopala swami temple at Hittuvalli, T Narsipur Taluk.

refer

15/12/05

Submitted

Note cancelled & submitted for orders

20/12/05

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

No 310
To. 1 file and 1 letter with 2 enclosures: Dated Mysore 11/12 December 1905

L. Ananthasami Row Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to Government Proceedings No 2253 dated 15th December 1904 and subsequent order No 4122-3 dated 6th May 1905 calling for the submission for sanction of a regular scale of expenditure for the Sri. Venugopalaswami temple at Kittuvalli, T Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

As regards the work of repairs being entrusted to Thitti Gopalachar, he admitted that he only applied for the contract on the 28th February 1905, while the Amildar reported that

that the contract had already been given on the 5th February 1905. It was therefore too late in applying for the contract and there was no reason for upsetting the arrangement. The Amildar was however written to that if at any time found that the contractor was not doing work satisfactorily, he should at once bring it to the notice of the Amildar. An endorsement was accordingly granted to him by the Amildar.

2. As directed in para 3 of Order No. 4122-3 dated 6th May 1905 Khitté — Gopalachar has been paid the balance of Rs 227-3-— and 12 Pallas of paddy (Vide receipt dated 20th July 1905 Pherist No. 153)

3. The Scale of expenditure called for in para 4 of the Government ordered above accompanies (Vide Pherist No. 161). It may be seen therefrom that 109 Pallas of paddy, the Amildar proposes to fix the Sale of expenditure at 78 Pallas, the remaining 31 Pallas

vided for the use of the Manager, while the Scale, received from the Manager, Thitte Gopalachar with the accompanying petition registered No. 8 dated 4th July '905 wherein he requests that it may be submitted to Government for Sanction, is fixed at 95 pallas including 50 pallas intended for the use of the manager, the remaining 14 pallas being kept in deposit with the manager Thitte Gopalachar for the repairs of the temple

4. As pot tiles for covering the roof of the kitchen had not been provided for in the original Sanctioned estimate the Amildar of the T Narsipur Taluk reports that this work had to be done in anticipation of Sanction at a cost of Rs 7-13-3 and submits a revised estimate (Vide Pharish No 159) for favour of Sanction. A Sum of Rs 8-2-8 being the interest on Rs 165/- and the amount paid to Thitte Gopalachar which had been kept in deposit in the Government Savings Bank is reported to be available at the credit of the institution and the cost of the revised estimate viz Rs 7-13-3

may

may be kindly ordered to be met out of it.

5. A measurement Bill and a completion Bill for Rs 172-13-3 as well two stamped receipts for Rs 80 and Rs 85/- received from the contractor (Vide Pherist Nos 160 & 160/1 and the file of Vernacular papers bearing on the subject received with yadi No 575/390 dated 3rd November 1905 from the Senior Commissioner, Nanjanguds division accompanies for reference.

I have the honor
Sir.
Your most obedient Servt
[illegible]
Deputy [illegible]
ciat

C.P.C.
B.A.

ಎಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಾಯಕಾರಿ
ರಾಸಾಯನಿಕ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ —

ಎಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಾಯಕಾರಿ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ಜೀವಕ್ಕೆ ಅಪಾಯವಾಗದಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ಕಟ್ಟುನಿಟ್ಟಿನ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅವುಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

(1) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಾಯಕಾರಿ ವಸ್ತುಗಳು ಸೋರಿಕೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳಿಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಅನಾಹುತಗಳು ಸಂಭವಿಸದಂತೆ ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ವಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಸೋರಿಕೆಯಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಅನಾಹುತ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಆ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರನ್ನು ಎಚ್ಚರಿಸಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಸುರಕ್ಷಿತ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥಳಾಂತರಿಸಬೇಕು.

(2) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಅಪಾಯಕಾರಿ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವಾಗ ಅವುಗಳಿಂದ ಚರ್ಮಕ್ಕೆ ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಉಸಿರಾಟಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸುರಕ್ಷಾ ಸಾಧನಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಬೇಕು.

(3) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಧೂಮಪಾನ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು. ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಕೆಲಸದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮದ್ಯಪಾನ ಮಾಡಿರಬಾರದು. ಮದ್ಯಪಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ನೀಡಬಾರದು.

(9)

(10)

Mysore

Read again

Para 4 of the Govt-

MWZ 119-07-18

Proceedings no ~~1223~~ 2253

dated ~~6th January 1905~~ 15th Dec. 1904

requesting the Dy Comrs

of the respective Districts

to submit for sanction

a revised scale of

expenditure to be

incurred in the ~~the~~

Lakshmiramanaswami

temple, otherwise known

as Venkatapuleswami

temple, at Hittanalli

in the T. Narsipur

Taluk.

Letter no 310 dated.

11560

13.06

Issue

Print

3/3/06

12th December 1905 from
the Dy Commr, Nellore
District.

Petition no 3162
dated 23rd January 1906
from Sitte Gopalachar.

Order:- R.O. 3754/8.3.06.
While ordering See one file
the restoration of the
Inam lands endowed
to the Sri Lakshminarayana
- swami temple, otherwise
known as Venkatapathi-
- swami temple, at-
Kittamitti in the T. Narasimha
Palem, to Sitte Gopalachar,
manager of the Institution,
the Dy Commr was requested
in the Govt Ro no 2253 read above

to submit
~~to~~ a Scale of expenditure
to be fixed for the Empire.
~~It would be proper I should~~
~~submit a few~~
~~observations~~

The Dy Commissioner
accordingly submits
the proposals of the
Architect and a Letter
petition of the Manager
on the subject. From
the former it is observed
that the Architect
proposes to fix the
expenditure at 78
Pallas of husbandry out
of the annual yield
of 109 Pallas, and
recommends to give
among the balance of

Pallava to the manager

for his personal assay humility.

But in the petition

read above the manager

states that an annual

expenditure of 18 Pallavas

formerly fixed by him

for the temple has since

been raised by him

(including the Quitrent payable to Govt)

to 45 Pallavas, and that

the temple, which is situated

four coorg from the

village, is out in need

of more than what has

been already fixed by

him - He prays that,

in consideration of

the fact that irrespective

of the annual income

from the Inam land -

it is more or less -

he would be held res-
-ponsible to keep the
temple always in good
order, the expenditure
recently fixed by him
in the temple viz 4 5ᵗʰ Pallas, be not-
further increased,
and that what remains
might be kept in his
possession to be utilized
for the periodical
repairs of the building.
2. The Government
observe that the petn's
proposal seems reason
and it is accordingly sanction
-able, He should be
directed to stick to the
fixed expenditure of
not less than 41 Pallas
per annum as noted

in the municipality (excluding the quantity of water required for keeping the sanitary works in good order).

He would be entitled to be in the undisturbed enjoyment of the water, whatever it may be, as it will be his bounden duty to keep the temple always in good repair and the puja services in an efficient state.

Estimated charges	27 gallons	
For daily pujas	8 "	
Annual charges to Devasthanam		
For Nandavanam etc.	6 "	
Total	41 gallons	

Dpl-

Secretary
Municipal Council

26/2/06

To

The Dy. Commissioner
Tiruchirapalli Division

No. 3752.

Sanitary matters

No. 3752 B/A-3.07

Mwz 119-07-19.

శ్రీ నిరంజన్ శ్రీ ఇంజనీరింగ్ వర్క్స్
గవర్నమెంట్ ఆఫ్ ఇండియా
ఫ్యాక్టరీ

వ్యాపారం కోసం మీరు పంపిన ఆర్డర్ ప్రకారం మేము
మీకు ఈ క్రింది వస్తువులు పంపుతున్నాము

1	3162	23	3162
2	3163	24	3163
3	3164	25	3164
4	3165	26	3165
5	3166	27	3166
6	3167	28	3167
7	3168	29	3168
8	3169	30	3169
9	3170	31	3170
10	3171	32	3171
11	3172	33	3172
12	3173	34	3173
13	3174	35	3174
14	3175	36	3175
15	3176	37	3176
16	3177	38	3177
17	3178	39	3178
18	3179	40	3179
19	3180	41	3180
20	3181	42	3181
21	3182	43	3182
22	3183	44	3183

ఈ సరుకులు మీకు చేరిన వెంటనే మీరు
వాటిని పరీక్షించి, ఏవైనా లోపాలు ఉంటే
వెంటనే మాకు తెలియజేయగలరు.

ఈ సరుకులకు సంబంధించిన బిల్లును
ఈ లేఖతో పాటు పంపుతున్నాము. దయచేసి
దానిని పరిశీలించి, మొత్తం డబ్బును
ఈ విధంగా చెల్లించగలరని కోరుతున్నాము.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Mysuru, dated 8th March 1906.

READ AGAIN—

(1) Para 4 of the Government Proceedings No. 2253, dated 15th December 1904, requesting the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, to submit for sanction a revised scale of expenditure to be incurred in the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple, otherwise known as Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli in the T.-Narsipur Taluk.

(2) Letter No. 310, dated 12th December 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

(3) Petition No. 3162, dated 23rd January 1906, from Titte Gopalachar.

No. 3751 / Mwz 119-07-12 DATED BANGALORE, 8TH MARCH 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—While ordering the restoration of the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Lakshminarayanaswami temple, otherwise known as Venugopalaswami temple at Hittuvalli in the T.-Narsipur Taluk, to Titte Gopalachar, manager of the institution, the Deputy Commissioner was requested in the Government Proceedings read above to submit a scale of expenditure to be fixed for the temple. The Deputy Commissioner accordingly submits the proposals of the Amildar and a takarar petition of the manager on the subject. From the former it is observed that the Amildar proposes to fix the expenditure at 78 pallas of paddy out of the annual yield of 109 pallas, and recommends to give away the balance of 31 pallas to the manager for his personal benefit. But in the petition read above, the manager states that an annual expenditure of 18 pallas formerly fixed by him for the temple has been since raised by him to 45 pallas (including the quit-rent, etc., payable to Government) and that the temple, which is situated far away from the village, is not in need of more than what has been already fixed by him. He prays that in consideration of the fact that, irrespective of the annual income from the inam land, whether it is more or less—he would be held responsible to keep the temple always in good order, the expenditure recently fixed by him in the temple, *viz.*, 45 pallas, be not further increased and that what remains might be left in his possession to be utilized for the periodical repairs of the building.

2. The Government observe that the petitioner's proposal seems reasonable and it is accordingly sanctioned. He should be directed to stick to the fixed expenditure of not less than 41 pallas per annum as noted in the margin (excluding the quantity of paddy required for paying the quit-rent to Government). He may be left in the undisturbed enjoyment of the balance whatever it may be, as it will be his bounden duty to keep the temple always in good repair and the puja services in an efficient state.

	Pallas
I. Establishment charges	27
II. For daily paditara, diparadhane, etc.	8
III. For hetchukatle	6
Total	41

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the vernacular records.

Ed.—C. V.

1905-06

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 8th March 1906

No. 3751

Sanctioning a revised scale of expen
ture to be incurred in the Sri Laks
narayanaswami temple, otherwise kn
as Venugoplaswami temple at Hittuva
in the T.-Narsipur Taluk.

GPB—23-3-06—200

1907 – 8

From
M. Kanakaraj Urs Esq/B.A
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Ananthasawmi Rao Esq/ B.A.
Secy to Govt Revenue Dept

Dated 15th Septr 1907

Despatched

Received

General No.
No

Enclosure No. 1 file

1313
17.9.07

Solicits orders in the matter of withdrawing the balance of Re 1-00 from the Savings Bank account for payment to Thitte Gobala Char

MW
19.9.07

Mwz. 119-07-2c

Recd.

Note cont^d & sub^d
9/10/07.

1314
1file

15th Septr

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 3751 dated the 8th March 1906 sanctioning a revised scale of expenditure to be incurred in the Sri Lakshmi Narayana Swami temple otherwise known as Venugopala Swami temple at Thiruvalli in the D. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of Vernacular records ending with yadi No. 21/112 dated 19/27.8.07 received from the Sub Division officer Nanjangud Division and to solicit the favour of orders in the matter of withdrawing the balance of 1-0-0 from the Savings Bank account and closing the account after payment of the balance to Thille Gopala Chary who it is reported has assumed the management the temple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

B.A.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Murzai

Read:—

Mhoz 119-07-24

Govt Pro- no 4122-3 Dj 6th May 1905 directing the payment of the balance of Rs. 327-3-0 in cash and 12 pallas of paddy in kind available at the credit of the Sri Lakshminarayana Swami temple otherwise known as Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Hiriyuvalli, T. Narasipur Taluk to the Manager of the temple.

Govt Pro:- no 3751 dated 8th March 1906 fixing the scale of Establishment and other charges of the temple

Letter No 1314 dated

15th September 1907 from --

the Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District,

Soliciting orders in the

matter of withdrawing

the balance of One Rupee

from the Savings Bank

and closing the account

after the payment of the

balance to Thithe Gopala

Char who it is reported

has assumed the manage-

ment of the temple.

Order thereon No 1584-L.B/11.10.07

Under the Circumstances

reported by the Dy Comr in his letter read above

the

the balance of one Rupee
may, as recommended
Mr. Roberts be withdrawn
from the Savings Bank
and the account closed
after payment of the
balance to the Manager
of the temple.

Chennai 11/10/09

Secretary

To

The Secretary Income Tax
Dept. Ven Records.

Comptroller Income Tax